

Elliott Weinstein wrote this case under the supervision of Professors Walid Busaba and Zeiguen Khokher solely to provide material for class discussion. The authors do not intend to illustrate either effective or ineffective handing of a managerial situation. The authors may have disguised certain names and other identifying information to protect communitality.

Ivey Management Services prohibits any form of reproduction, storage or transmittativity its written permission. Reproduction of this material is not covered under authorization by any reproduction rights organization. To order copies or request permission to reproduce materials, contact Ivey Publishing, Ivey Management Services, c/o Richard Ivey School of Business, The University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada, N6A 3K7; phone (519) 661-3208; fax (519) 661-3882; e-mail cases@ivey.uwo.ca.

Copyright © 2005, Ivey Management Services

INTRODUCTION

Jaclyn Grimshaw, the manager of a billion-dollin hedger und, had just been approached on May 18, 2004 by a syndicate of funds to gauge her interest in a bd to purchase RamSync Incorporated.

HEDGE FUNDS — AN INTRODUCTION

In 1949, Alfred Jones establisher the first hedge fund, which would allow investors to utilize "shortselling." By combining long and short positions, Jones exploited the relative pricing of stocks, while minimizing his exposure to the overall market. Throughout the 1960s the popularity of these investment vehicles grew enormously as Jones's hedge fund outperformed most mutual funds in this period.

While both hedge and putual funds share the characteristic of pooling investors' money to make investments, they differ in other significant ways. First, hedge funds are unregulated, which allows fund managers to sell short charge performance-based compensation and lever their positions. Hedge fund managers are able to use high degrees of leverage through the use of futures, options and other complex derivatives. There practices are not available to fund managers in the heavily regulated mutual fund industry one to the lack of regulation, hedge fund managers market their services to a small group of high–net-worth clients ("sophisticated investors") and institutional clients. Moreover, while mutual fund managers have to constantly maintain enough liquidity to satisfy requests for redemptions, clients of hedge funds often face liquidity constraints that force them to hold their investment in the fund for a number of

MENTIAL INVESTMENT IN RAMSYNC

Grimshaw began her preliminary due diligence to determine whether she should participate in a \$900million bid to purchase RamSync, a Silicon Valley company that manufactured the fast synchronous dynamic random access memory (SDRAM) memory chips. Grimshaw knew that the current random access memory (RAM) marketplace was extremely competitive and estimated that the SDRAM market

Version: (A) 2009-03-24

would stop growing at the end of five years. However, she also knew that if she were to acquire Ramsunc, she would have the option to enter the much-anticipated magnetoresistive random access memory (MRAM) market within the next five years. MRAM chip technology combined the speed of the radiational RAM chip with increased endurance and promised the customer more reliability at a competitive cost.

Grimshaw decided she would begin by conducting a net present value (NPV) analysis of the SDRAM project. She was aware of one standard procedure that involved forecasting incompetal free cash flows, which were discounted at the weighted average cost of capital (WACC).

The current yield on the 10-year U.S. government bond was 6 per cent, and RamSync's levered beta was 1.40. Based on comparables in the industry, RamSync's optimal capital soluture would be 70 per cent equity and 30 per cent debt. Comparable companies with similar capital solutures have a yield-to-maturity of 10.0 per cent on their debt financing. RamSync's tax consultants estimated that the corporate tax rate over the life of the project would be 38.9 per cent. Pro forma projections of cash flows for RamSync had been completed (see Exhibit 1).

A negative NPV of \$33 million didn't look good. But Grimshaw began to wonder if things could be that simple. Was there hidden *value* in the MRAM market that would justify the acquisition?

Discussions with RamSync's executives informed Graninev that RamSync could enter the MRAM market with an initial investment of \$250 million, followed by another investment one year later of \$265 million (see Exhibit 2). Grimshaw's due diligence on the industry revealed that entering the MRAM market would add \$165 million in future discoursed cash flow to the firm (see Exhibit 3). The annual standard deviation of asset returns in the SDRAM industry was 65 per cent and was expected to be similar for the MRAM market. This meant that there was a great deal of uncertainty about the value of the MRAM project, and the \$165 million estimate of MRAM's asset value could either increase dramatically or come crashing down.

To organize her thoughts, Grimsh w listed her questions to herself:

- 1. What is an option?
- 2. Do the following factors affect the call price?
 - Stock and exerciserice
 - Time to maturity
 - Volatility
- 3. How does an option's intrinsic value¹ differ from its time value?
 - RamSpoc's projectus contained data on options; how do the option premiums separate into time value and atrinsic value? (She wanted to fill in the chart in Exhibit 4 with this information.)
- 4. Is the MD to a financial option? If so, how?

changes, and this change in price of the underlying asset can increase the value of an option.

- 5. How much would the MRAM growth option have to be worth to make it worthwhile to invest in RamSync?
- 6. Does the predicted volatility for MRAM's cash flows affect the amount she is willing to pay for RamSync?
 - The MRAM market could provide \$300 million in future discounted cash flow to the firm, would that change the decision to purchase RamSync?

¹The intrinsic value of a call option is equal to the greater of zero, or the stock price less the exercise price. The intrinsic value of a put option is equal to the greater of zero, or the exercise price less the stock price. The time value of an option is the value of the option beyond its intrinsic value. This value reflects the fact that, over time, the value of the underlying asset

| | E | xhibit 1 | | | | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------|
| | THE SDF (in thou | RAM PRO. sands of l | JECT JS\$) | | ~ (| |
| Risk-Free Rate | | 6.0% | | | | |
| Levered Beta | | 1.4 | | | Y | |
| Market Premium | | 5.5% | | • | | |
| Cost of Equity | | 13.7% | | | | |
| Cost of Debt Financing | | 10.0% | | | | |
| Tax Rate (T) | | 38.9% | | | | |
| After-Tax Cost of Debt | | 6.1% | | | | |
| % Long-term Debt | | 30% | | | | |
| % Long-term Equity | | 70% | | | | |
| Weighted Average Cost of Ca | apital (WACC) | 8.4% | 7 | | | |
| | | | 7 | | | |
| | | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 20 |
| EBIT (1-T) | | 7.2 00 | \$ 70,200 | \$ 71,200 | \$ 71,200 | \$ 71,20 |
| Net investment in Working Ca | apital | 7 00 | 300 | 100 | 50 | 5 |
| Depreciation | | 2,500 | 2,500 | 2,500 | 2,500 | 2,50 |
| Capital Expenditures | | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 50 |
| Cash Flow | | 69,000 | 71,900 | 73,100 | 73,150 | 73,15 |
| Discounted Cash Flow (DCI | (ate) | \$ 63 659 | \$ 61 201 | \$ 57 406 | \$ 52 999 | 871,93 \$ 631 73 |
| Discounted Gash Flow (Doi | | ψ 03,033 | ψ01,201 | ψ 37,400 | ψ 52,555 | ψ 001,70 |
| Sum of DCF | \$ 867,000 | | | | | |
| Proposed Cost to Buy the Fix | \$ 900,000 | | | | | |
| Net Present Value | \$(33,000) | | | | | |
| |) F | xhihit 2 | | | | |
| | - | | | | | |
| | PRESENT VALUI (in thou | E OF EXER sands of l | RCISE PRIC JS\$) | ĴE | | |
| \$250.000 | Initial Investment | | | | | |
| | Required Investment at | End of First | Year | | | |
| \$265.000 | | | | | | |
| \$265,000 | Risk-Free Rate | | | | | |
| \$265,000 6.00% | Risk-Free Rate | se Price (as | of now disc | ounted at the | risk-free rat | e) |

| Risk-Free Rate Levered Beta Market Premium | THE MRAM PROJI (in thousands of U | ECT IS\$) | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------|
| Risk-Free Rate Levered Beta Market Premium | 0.0% | | | ~ C | |
| Levered Beta Market Premium | 6.0% | | | | |
| Market Premium | 1.4 | | | \mathbf{Y} | |
| | 5.5% | | | / | |
| Cost of Equity | 13.7% | | | | |
| Cost of Debt Financing | 15.0% | | \mathbf{N} | | |
| Tax Rate (T) | 38.9% | | | | |
| After-Tax Cost of Debt | 9.2% | | | | |
| % Long-term Debt | 30% | • | | | |
| % Long-term Equity | 70% | (| | | |
| Weighted Average Cost of Capital (WACC) | 10.5% | 7 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2(|
| EBIT (1-T) | 11,040 | \$ 11,592 | \$ 12,172 | \$ 12,780 | \$ 13,4 |
| Net investment in Working Capital | | 150 | 200 | 250 | 3 |
| Depreciation | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,0 |
| Capital Expenditures | 7,000 | 7,000 | 7,000 | 7,000 | 7,0 |
| Cash Flow | 8,940 | 9,442 | 9,972 | 10,530 | 11,1 |
| Terminal Value (5% Growth Rate) | | | | | 211,1 |
| Discounted Cash Flow (DCF) | \$ 8,088 | \$ 7,729 | \$ 7,385 | \$ 7,055 | \$ 134,7 |
| Discounted Cash Flow (DCF) Asset Value (Sum of DCFs) \$ 1 | \$ 8,088 65,000 | \$ 7,729 | \$ 7,385 | \$ 7,055 | \$ 13 |

Exhibit 4

INTRINSIC VALUE AND TIME VALUE

- 1. Using the data below, fill in the intrinsic value and time value for each call and put pt
- 2. Does the time value get larger or smaller as the time to maturity increases?
- 3. What has the greatest intrinsic value?
 - a) Out-of-the-money options
 - b) In-the-money options
 - c) At-the-money options
- 4. What has the greatest time value?
 - a) Out-of-the-money options
 - b) In-the-money options
 - c) At-the-money options

RamSync's Corp: Stock Price as of May 18, 2004: \$25.79

| | | | A | | | | |
|--------------|-----------------|---------------|--------|-----------------|---------|---------|---------|
| | Expiration Date | | | Expiration Date | | | |
| Strike Price | Jun '04 | Sep '04 | Dec 0 | Strike Price | Jun '04 | Sep '04 | Dec '04 |
| \$20.00 | \$5.88 | \$7.16 | \$8.23 | \$20.00 | \$0.04 | \$1.01 | \$1.79 |
| \$22.50 | \$3.61 | \$5.53 | .80 | \$22.50 | \$0.26 | \$1.84 | \$2.78 |
| \$25.00 | \$1.85 | 6 4.20 | \$5.59 | \$25.00 | \$0.99 | \$2.96 | \$3.99 |
| \$27.50 | \$0.77 | \$3.14 | \$4.58 | \$27.50 | \$2.41 | \$4.36 | \$5.40 |
| \$30.00 | \$0.26 | 2.32 | \$3.74 | \$30.00 | \$4.39 | \$6.00 | \$6.98 |

PUT OPTION PRICES

Intrinsic Value of Option

| \$20.00 | \$20.00 |
|---------|---------|
| \$22.50 | \$22.50 |
| \$25.00 | \$25.00 |
| \$27.50 | \$27.50 |
| \$30.00 | \$30.00 |
| | |

Time Value of Open

| | \$20.00 | |
|---------|---------|--|
| \$22,50 | \$22.50 | |
| \$25.00 | \$25.00 | |
| \$27.50 | \$27.50 | |
| \$30.00 | \$30.00 | |